

CHEROKEE NATION

P.O. Box 948 Tahlequah, OK 74465-0948

EFA DALLAS, TX

Chad "Corntassel" Smith O'FrG7 Principal Chief

Joe Grayson, Jr.

JLO John
Deputy Principal Chief

July 30, 2007

Aunjanee Gautreaux, 6PD-Q Air Quality Analysis Section U. S. EPA, Region 6 1445 Ross Avenue Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

RE: CHEROKEE NATION COMMUNITY AIR TOXICS PROJECT FOURTH QUARTER TECHNICAL REPORT

Dear Ms. Gautreaux:

Enclosed is the Fourth Quarter Technical Report (March, April, May, 2007) for the Cherokee Nation's Community Air Toxics Project (Cooperative Agreement number XA-96619701-0). The fourth quarter financial report and MBE/WBE will be provided by the Cherokee Nation Accounting Department and by our budget analyst, respectively.

If you have any questions regarding these matters, please call Ryan Callison at 918-453-5093 or Kent Curtis at 918-453-5095.

Sincerely,

Jeannine Hale

Administrator for Environmental Programs

earnie Hale

Enclosure

cc: File

QUARTERLY TECHNICAL REPORT for CHEROKEE NATION ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS (CNEP) COMMUNITY AIR TOXICS PROJECT (XA-96619701-0)


FOURTH QUARTER FY2006 (MARCH, APRIL, MAY, 2007)

OVERVIEW OF PROJECT ORIGIN AND PURPOSE

The origin and purpose of this project are described in the first quarterly technical report for this project. In summary, the Cherokee Nation is currently conducting this 18-month VOC sampling project at its Cherokee Heights (aka, Pryor) site (Figure 1), collecting samples in vacuum canisters for analyses via EPA Test Method TO-15. Over 90 samples will be collected using a 1-in-6 day sampling interval. The 18-month project will document seasonal variations in VOC concentrations and will focus on hazardous air pollutants (VOC HAPs) identified as "drivers" in the 1999 NATA, as well as on VOC HAPs detected in the Cherokee Nation's screening project from the winter of 2005. Project data will be shared with the EPA, the state of Oklahoma (ODEQ), the Cherokee Nation, and the general public via AQS, XML flat file, and other means, as appropriate.

FOURTH QUARTER GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- 1. Continue sample collection in accordance with the Proposed Sampling Schedule for this project. Sample collection began as scheduled on September 26, 2006. Thirty-eight samples (plus four duplicates) were collected as of May 30, 2007. Summary information for these 42 samples is shown on the first two pages of the Proposed Sampling Schedule for this project, which is included as Appendix A of this quarterly technical report. Four samples collected on December 1st, December 25th, and April 18th were invalid (unuseable) because the sample canisters had internal pressures of zero at the end of sample collection or because a leak from the canisters was suspected. A further explanation of problems encountered with sample collection and analysis is included in the "Problems Encountered" section of this quarterly technical report.
- 2. Perform NATTS Certification and flow verification check on backup RM910A sampler. The CNEP sent its backup sampler to ERG for an EPA Compendium Method TO-15 "canister sampling system certification" (aka, NATTS Certification) in February, 2007. ERG performed this certification on February 5 and the sampler passed the certification. ERG returned the sampler to the CNEP in February, but the CNEP has not yet performed a flow verification check on it.
- 3. ERG will begin reporting sample data to the CNEP within 45 days after the completion of the first month of sample collection. The CNEP received lab data for its first seven samples collected from September 26th through October 26th from ERG in



December, 2006. Subsequently, the CNEP received data for samples collected from November, 2006 through May, 2007. The CNEP has analyzed this data. The CNEP's Data Summary reports are included in **Appendix B** of this quarterly technical report, along with CNEP tables of all the data. The data tables were compiled to facilitate the discovery and analysis of any seasonal trends in the data. ERG posted the data for September 26th through December 31st, 2006 to the AQS website in March, 2007. ERG posted the data for January 6th through March 31st, 2007 to the AQS website in June, 2007.

4. Kent Curtis of CNEP will attend the Air and Waste Management Association's Measurement Methods and Technology Conference. Kent attended the Air and Waste Management Association's Symposium on Air Quality Measurement Methods and Technology in San Francisco on April 30-May 2, 2007. He gave a brief presentation on the CNEP's Community Air Toxics Project, including a summary of sample data collected as of February, 2007. This presentation will be published in the proceedings of the symposium.

Summary of Fourth Quarter Goals, Objectives, and Accomplishments. The goals and objectives of this project, including overall goals, have not changed from the original CNEP application for funding. Fourth quarter goals and objectives for this project were to continue sample collection, analyze sample data, obtain NATTS certification for the CNEP's backup RM910A sampler, and send CNEP staff to technical conferences and trainings pertaining to air toxics monitoring. These goals and objectives have been met. No significant difficulties or delays were encountered in meeting these second quarter goals and objectives. In summary, work for this project is on schedule.

Project Timeline and Milestones. The following list shows the timeline and milestones for the entire two-year duration of this project. *Milestones that have been met are shown in italics.*

- (1) Cherokee Nation will receive EPA approval of its QAPP for this project by June 1, 2006, or by the end of the second month of the project. The original QAPP/Work Plan for this project was approved by the EPA in February, 2006. The CNEP revised this original QAPP in September, 2006, and the revised QAPP was approved by EPA on October 26, 2006.
- (2) Cherokee Nation will solicit bids from labs for sample analysis during the first month of the project and will select the winning bid and award the contract by the beginning of the third month of the project. ERG was selected (August, 2006) to analyze project samples and perform data reporting for the project.
- (3) Cherokee Nation will begin sample collection by the beginning of the third month (September, 2006) of the project, or by the date of project QAPP approval by EPA, whichever is later. Sample collection for this project began on September 26, 2006. As of May 30, 2007, thirty-eight samples (plus four duplicate samples) had been collected for this project.

- (4) Cherokee Nation will begin data analysis as soon as the first data is received from lab. Data analysis will continue to the end of the project on May 31, 2008. The CNEP began receiving lab data from ERG in December, 2006. As of July, 2007, the CNEP had analyzed ERG data for the first thirty-eight samples collected from September 26, 2006 through May 30, 2007. Data analysis will be an ongoing activity until the end of this project in May, 2008.
- (5) Cherokee Nation will complete sample collection by the end of 18 months of sampling (March, 2008).
- (6) ERG will submit sample data to CNEP within 45 days after the end of each month of sample collection. ERG will submit statistical analyses of data and quality assurance reports to CNEP at the end of each year of the project. ERG began submitting sample data to the CNEP in December, 2006 (see Project Timeline and Milestone item 4 above).
- (7) ERG, under the terms of its contract with CNEP, will post project data to AQS within 90 days of the end of each calendar quarter. Posting of project data to AQS will begin as early as the 9th month (March, 2007) of the project. ERG will complete final posting of project data to AQS within 90 days after the conclusion of the project on May 31, 2008. ERG posted project data for September, 2006 through March, 2007 to the AQS website in March and June, 2007. Submission of data to AQS will be an ongoing activity until the end of this project.
- (8) Cherokee Nation will host public meeting to present results of project to residents of Cherokee Heights no later than the final month of the project (May, 2008).
- (9) Cherokee Nation will submit final project report to EPA within 90 days after the conclusion of the project on May 31, 2008. Quarterly technical reports will be submitted to EPA within 30 days after the end of each three-month quarter of each fiscal year.

CHANGES IN KEY PERSONNEL INVOLVED IN PROJECT

The following six persons in the CNEP air quality monitoring program are working on this project:

Ryan Callison, Project Manager Kent Curtis, Project QA/QC Manager April Hathcoat, Environmental Specialist II Jacque Adam, Environmental Specialist I Jeremy Freise, Environmental Specialist I Danielle Keese, Environmental Specialist I

Ryan has overall responsibility for the project. Kent is responsible for project planning, project oversight, and QA/QC management. Kent and April are responsible for project data management. April, Jacque, Jeremy, and Danielle have primary responsibility for

sample collection and equipment maintenance, while Kent and Ryan may also assist with such tasks.

ERG is the laboratory responsible for sample analyses and data reporting for the project. Key contacts at ERG are Julie Swift (project oversight), Ray Merrill (QA oversight), Dave Dayton (Method TO-15 canister sampling system certification), and Rodney Williams (canister sample shipping and receiving).

Figure 2 is an organizational chart showing all parties involved in this project. Those personnel named in the preceding paragraphs are directly involved in this project while other parties shown in Figure 2 play supporting roles in the project.

EXPENDITURES TO DATE

A total of \$108,854 of the \$165,000 awarded for this grant was spent or obligated by the CNEP by the end of the fourth quarter of this project. Most of the money spent or obligated was for one-time expenditures: \$47,520 obligated to ERG for the performance of sample analyses and data reporting during the period from September, 2006 through May, 2008; and \$4,522 obligated to RM Environmental, Inc. for a backup RM910A sampler and spare parts (seals, etc.) for the primary RM910A sampler. The remaining expenditures through the end of the fourth quarter were \$18,764 for salaries, \$15,839 for fringe benefits, \$3,423 for travel, \$2,091 for supplies (including two Restek sample canisters), \$8,369 for other costs, and \$8,324 for indirect costs. Thus expenditures and obligations through the end of the fourth quarter are within the overall budget for the project. In other words, expenditures for salaries, fringe benefits, indirect costs, and other expenses are not expected to exceed the total awarded for the two-year life of the grant.

COMPLIANCE WITH QUALITY ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

The CNEP's original QAPP/Work Plan for this project was approved by the EPA in February, 2006. A revision of this QAPP was completed by the CNEP on September 21, 2006 and was approved by the EPA on October 26, 2006.


In addition, the CNEP is operating under a Quality Management Plan (QMP) approved by the EPA on May 9, 2007. The CNEP air quality monitoring program is also operating under several other EPA-approved QAPPs, including QAPPs for criteria pollutant monitoring (including meteorological instruments) and for PM2.5 and PM10 monitoring.

The contracted laboratory, ERG, is operating under the following EPA-approved QAPP: Support for the EPA National Monitoring Programs (NMOC, UATMP, PAMS, HAPs, and NATTS). EPA approval for ERG's updated QAPP for 2006/2007 is pending.

RESULTS TO DATE

Thirty-eight samples (plus four duplicates) were collected from September 26, 2006 through May 30, 2007 (see first two pages of Appendix A in this quarterly technical

Cherokee Nation Environmental Programs Organizational Chart



(ORIGINAL)

12,147.00	DC
13,600.00	Other
6,995.00	Equipment
3,400.00	Supplies
77,000.00	Contractual
9,011.00	Travel
11,078.00	Fringe
31,769.00	Personnel

report). All but four of these samples produced valid sample data. ERG has submitted data for these 38 samples – collected from September 26, 2006 through May 30, 2007 – to the CNEP and the CNEP has analyzed this data. The CNEP's Data Summary reports are included in **Appendix B** of this quarterly technical report, along with CNEP tables of all the data. The data tables were compiled to facilitate the discovery and analysis of any seasonal trends in the data. ERG posted the data for September 26th through March 31st, 2007 to the AQS website in March and June, 2007.

The number of VOCs detected in each of the first 38 samples ranged from 14 to 30. The concentrations of the detected VOCs were compared to the following benchmarks: EPA Region 6 Human Health Medium-Specific Screening Levels, including chronic inhalation toxicity values (non-cancer and cancer values), and including screening values for ambient air; Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) MAACs; and ATSDR Minimal Risk Levels (MRLs) for inhalation. The concentrations of 3 to 7 of the detected VOCs equaled or exceeded one or more of these benchmarks in each sample. The VOCs exceeding these benchmarks were as follows: acrolein; chloromethane; 1,3-butadiene; chloroform; benzene; carbon tetrachloride; trichloroethylene; and 1,2-dichloroethane. A more detailed analysis of these results is included in **Appendix B** of this quarterly technical report.

PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED

No serious problems were encountered during the fourth quarter of this project. One minor problem that occurred during the fourth quarter is described here. A second problem (MDLs) that has carried over from the third quarter is also discussed.

Two duplicate samples – collected on April 18th – were invalid (unuseable) because of a suspected leak from the sample canisters. If such a leak occurred, then the sample may have been contaminated with air from either inside or outside the building housing the sampling apparatus, or sampled air and contaminants may have escaped from the canister. The cause of the suspected leak is unknown. A possible cause may include operator error during setup or retrieval of the sample canister, which could result in a loose connection between the sample canister and the tubing leading from the canister to the RM910A sampler. The QA/QC Manager (Kent Curtis) for this project is monitoring the situation in an attempt to identify and correct the cause of the problem.

Method Detection Limits (MDLs) reported by ERG for samples collected in 2007 are higher than the MDLs reported for samples collected for this project in 2006. Fourteen of these higher MDLs are higher than the EPA Region 6 Human Health Screening Levels to which project data are being compared. If possible, the MDLs for all VOCs included in this project should be *lower* than the Screening Levels. If MDLs are *higher* than these Screening Levels, then it is likely that false negatives will be reported for VOCs of particular concern in this project. That is, data may falsely show that a particular VOC is not present at a concentration higher than a Screening Level when, in fact, that VOC may actually be present at a concentration higher than the Screening Level but lower than the MDL achieved by the lab. [See Data Summary in Appendix B for further discussion of

this problem.] The CNEP conferred with ERG to determine the cause of the higher MDLs reported for the samples collected in 2007. ERG explained that it recalculates its MDLs at the beginning of each calendar year. The new MDLs are then used for the next twelve months. These new MDLs may be higher, lower, or the same for any given VOC as the MDLs used during the previous year. Thus the new MDLs must be used, even if they are higher than a Screening Level of concern. This limits the usefulness of some project data and forces the CNEP to accept the possibility of false negatives for some VOCs of concern, including 1,3-butadiene, acrolein, carbon tetrachloride, and trichloroethylene.

Of the first 47 samples collected (including five duplicates) from September 26, 2006 through May 30, 2007, 43 samples yielded valid (useable) data. Thus the data completion rate for the first eight months of sample collection is 91.49%. This meets the desired data completion rate of 85%, which is specified in Section 2.5 of the Revised QAPP/Work Plan for this project.

ACTIVITIES PLANNED FOR FIFTH QUARTER OF THIS PROJECT

- 1. Continue sample collection in accordance with the Proposed Sampling Schedule for this project (see **Appendix A** of this quarterly technical report).
- 2. The CNEP will begin revising its Quality Assurance Project Plan and Work Plan for this VOC monitoring project.
- 3. The CNEP will perform a flow verification check on its NATTS-certified backup RM910A sampler.
- 4. ERG will continue reporting sample data to the CNEP at monthly intervals. ERG, under the terms of its contract with CNEP, will continue posting project data to AQS within 90 days of the end of each calendar quarter.
- 5. One or more CNEP staff members will attend the following Air Pollution Training Institute courses: "Sources and Control of VOC Air Pollutants" in Oklahoma City (July), and "Introduction to Hazardous Air Pollutants" in Baton Rouge, Louisiana (August).

PUBLICATIONS ARISING FROM THIS PROJECT

The CNEP will present the results of this project at one or more regional or national conferences as project data become available. Such presentations will occur in 2007 and 2008. Kent Curtis attended the Air and Waste Management Association's Symposium on Air Quality Measurement Methods and Technology in San Francisco on April 30-May 2, 2007. He gave a brief presentation on the CNEP's Community Air Toxics Project, including a summary of sample data collected as of February, 2007. This presentation will be published in the proceedings of the symposium. There are no plans at this time to publish the final results of this project.

The CNEP will share data from this project with the Cherokee Nation's Health Services department. The CNEP and the CN Health Services may jointly host a public meeting to present results of this project to residents of Cherokee Heights no later than the final month of the project (May, 2008).

APPENDIX A

PROPOSED SAMPLING SCHEDULE FOR THIS PROJECT

There are 92 sample dates, with duplicate samples being collected on 10 of those dates. There are 102 samples in all. Sample dates correspond to the EPA's 1-in-6 day sampling schedule used for ambient particulate monitoring. Dates for duplicate samples were selected randomly by using a random number table.

CNEP	CNEP	Lab		Sampl	Sample Date		Duplicate	Notes
Sample Number	Canister Number	Sample Number	Month	Day	Year	Day of Week	Sample	
1	2280	2280	September	26	2006	Tuc		
2A	2275	2275	October	2	2006	Mon		
2B	2272	2272	October	2	2006	Mon	Yes	
3	2276	2276	October	10	2006	Tue		Make-up for sample that didn't run on 10/8
4	2284	2284	October	18	2006	Wed		Make-up for sample that didn't run on 10/14
5	3357	3357	October	20	2006	Fri		Graseby canister
9	3359	3359	October	26	2006	Thur		Graseby canister; shelter temp 130 on 10/24
7	2275	2275	November	-	2006	Wed		
8A	2272	2272	November	7	2006	Tue		
8B	2280	2280	November	7	2006	Tue	Yes	
6	2276	2276	November	13	2006	Mon		
10	2284	2284	November	19	2006	Sun		
11	3357	3357	November	25	2006	Sat		Graseby canister
12	3359	3359	December	1	2006	ŀн		Grascby canister, +2 final canister pressure at Pryor, 0 at ERG; INVALID SAMPLE
13	2275	2275	December	7	2006	Thur		
14	2272	2272	December	13	2006	Med		
15	2280	2280	December	19	2006	Tue		
16	3627	3627	December	25	2006	Mon		0 final canister press.; INVALID SAMPLE
17	3628	3628	December	31	2006	unS		New Year's Eve
18	2275	2275	January	9	2007	Sat		
19A	2284	2284	January	12	2007	Fri		
19B	2272	2272	January	12	2007	Fri	Yes	
20	2276	2276	January	18	2007	Thur		
21	2280	2280	January	24	2007	Wed		

There are 92 sample dates, with duplicate samples being collected on 10 of those dates. There are 102 samples in all. Sample dates correspond to the EPA's 1-in-6 day sampling schedule used for ambient particulate monitoring. Dates for duplicate samples were selected randomly by using a random number table.

Notes															+2 final can press, INVALID SAMPLE	+2 final can pr; suspected leak, INVALID								and the second s	
Duplicate	Sample															Yes	2275 April 10 2007 Wed 10 3628 3628 April 24 2007 Tue 10 2275 April 30 2007 Mon Yes 3627 April 30 2007 Mon Yes 2272 April 30 2007 Sun Yes 2276 Azore May 12 2007 Sat 2280 Amay 18 2007 Fri 2284 May 24 2007 Thur 3627 May 30 2007 Thur 3628 3628 June 5 2007 Tue								
	Day of Week	Tue	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri	Thur	Wed	Tue	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri	2250 2260 April 12 2007 Thur 3627 3627 April 12 2007 Thur 3628 3627 April 18 2007 Wed Yes 2275 2275 April 24 2007 Tue Yes 2275 2275 April 30 2007 Mon Yes 2275 3627 April 30 2007 Mon Yes 2275 2275 April 30 2007 Mon Yes 2276 April 30 2007 Mon Yes 2276 May 12 2007 Fri 2280 2280 May 18 2007 Fri 2284 2284 May 24 2007 Thur 3627 3627 May 30 2007 Thur 3628 3628 Junc 5 2007 Tue											
Date	Year	Number Month Day Year Day of Week 3627 January 30 2007 Tuc 3628 February 5 2007 Mon 2272 February 11 2007 Sun 2284 February 23 2007 Fri 2284 March 1 2007 Thur 3628 March 13 2007 Tuc 2272 March 19 2007 Tuc 2274 March 19 2007 Mon 2275 March 19 2007 Thur 2284 April 6 2007 Fri 2284 April 12 2007 Wed 2276 March 31 2007 Wed 2275 April 18 2007 Wed 2275 April 30 2007 Mon 2275 April 30 2007 Mon	2007																						
Sample Date	Sample Number Month Day Year Day of Week 3627 January 30 2007 Tuc 3628 February 11 2007 Mon 2272 February 17 2007 Sat 2284 February 23 2007 Fri 2284 March 1 2007 Thur 3627 March 13 2007 Thur 2284 March 13 2007 Tuc 2272 March 13 2007 Tuc 2274 March 13 2007 Mon 3628 March 25 2007 Sat 2276 March 12 2007 Wed 2276 April 18 2007 Wed 3627 April 18 2007 Mon 3627 April 30 2007 Mon 3628 April 30 2007 M	S																							
	Month	3627 January 30 2007 Treen 3628 February 5 2007 Mon 2272 February 11 2007 Sat 2284 February 17 2007 Sat 2280 March 1 2007 Fri 2284 March 13 2007 Wed 2272 March 13 2007 Mon 2274 March 13 2007 Fri 2274 March 19 2007 Mon 2274 March 25 2007 Mon 2276 March 25 2007 Wed 2276 April 12 2007 Wed 2275 April 18 2007 Mon 2275 April 30 2007 Mon 2275 May 12 2007 Sat 2276 May 12 2007 Fri <																							
Lab	Sample Number	3627	3628	3628 February 5 2007 Mon 2272 February 11 2007 Sun 2284 February 17 2007 Sat 2280 March 1 2007 Fri 2284 March 13 2007 Thur 2284 March 13 2007 Thur 2275 March 19 2007 Mon 2276 March 25 2007 Sun 2284 April 6 2007 Fri 2284 April 18 2007 Wed 2275 April 18 2007 Wed 3627 April 18 2007 Mon 3628 April 30 2007 Mon 2275 April 30 2007 Sun 2275 April 30 2007 Mon 2275 May 12 2007 Mon <t< td=""></t<>																					
CNEP	Canister Number	3627	3628	2272	2284	2276 February 23 2007 Fri 2280 March 1 2007 Thur 3627 March 13 2007 Wed 2284 March 13 2007 Wed 2272 March 19 2007 Mon 3628 March 25 2007 Sat 2284 April 12 2007 Fri 2284 April 18 2007 Wed Yes 3627 April 18 2007 Wed Yes 2275 April 18 2007 Mon Yes 3627 April 30 2007 Mon Yes 2275 April 30 2007 Mon Yes 2275 May 12 2007 Sat 2275 May 18 2007 Thur 2284 May 18 2007 Wed 2284																			
CNEP	Sample Number	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35A	35B	36	37A	37B	38	39	40	41	42	43

There are 92 sample dates, with duplicate samples being collected on 10 of those dates. There are 102 samples in all. Sample dates correspond to the EPA's 1-in-6 day sampling schedule used for ambient particulate monitoring. Dates for duplicate samples were selected randomly by using a random number table.

CNEP	CNEP	Lab		Sampl	Sample Date		Duplicate	Notes
Sample Number	Canister Number	Sample Number	Month	Day	Year	Day of Week	Sample	
44	2275	2275	June	11	2007	Mon		
45	2272	2272	June	17	2007	Sun		
46	3627	3627	June	23	2007	Sat		Dup. can couldn't run, 0 initial pressure
47A	2276	2276	June	29	2007	Hi		Sample ran for 110.04 hrs, +25 final press
47B	2280	2280	June	29	2007	Fri	Yes	Sample ran for 110.04 hrs, +25 final press
48	3628	3628	July	5	2007	Thur		
49A	2275	2275	July		2007	Wed		Make-up duplicate for June 29
49B	2272	2272	July	11	2007	Wed	Yes	Make-up duplicate for June 29
50	3359	3359	July	17	2007	Tue		Graseby canister
51			July	23	2007	Mon		
52			July	29	2007	Sun		
53A			August	4	2007	Sat		
53B			August	4	2007	Sat	Yes	
54			August	10	2007	Fri		
55			August	16	2007	Thur		
56			August	22	2007	Wed		
57			August	28	2007	Tue		
58			September	3	2007	Mon		Labor Day
59			September	6	2007	Sun		
09			September	15	2007	Sat		
61			September	21	2007	Fri		
62			September	27	2007	Thur		
63A			October	3	2007	Wed		
63B		3	October	3	2007	Wed	Yes	

There are 92 sample dates, with duplicate samples being collected on 10 of those dates. There are 102 samples in all. Sample dates correspond to the EPA's 1-in-6 day sampling schedule used for ambient particulate monitoring. Dates for duplicate samples were selected randomly by using a random number table.

CNEP	CNEP	Lab		Sampl	Sample Date		Duplicate	Notes
Sample Number	Canister Number	Sample Number	Month	Day	Year	Day of Week	Sample	
65			October	15	2007	Mon		
99			October	21	2007	Sun		
<i>L</i> 9			October	27	2007	Sat		
89			November	2	2007	Fri		
69			November	8	2007	Thur		
70			November	14	2007	Wed		
71			November	20	2007	Tue		
72			November	26	2007	Mon		
73			December	2	2007	Sun		
74			December	8	2007	Sat		
75			December	14	2007	Fri		
92		9	December	20	2007	Thur		
77			December	26	2007	Wed		
78			January	1	2008	Tue		NY Day
79			January	7	2008	Mon		
80A			January	13	2008	Sun		
80B			January	13	2008	Sun	Yes	
81			January	19	2008	Sat		
82			January	25	2008	Fri		
83			January	31	2008	Thur		
84			February	9	2008	Wed		
85			February	12	2008	Tue		
98			February	18	2008	Mon		
87		3	February	24	2008	Sun		

There are 92 sample dates, with duplicate samples being collected on 10 of those dates. There are 102 samples in all. Sample dates correspond to the EPA's 1-in-6 day sampling schedule used for ambient particulate monitoring. Dates for duplicate samples were selected randomly by using a random number table.

Notes																
Duplicate	Sample				Yes											
	Day of Week	Sat	Fri	Thur	Thur	Wed	Tuc									
Date	Year	2008	2008	2008	2008	2008	2008									
Sample Date	Day	1	7	13	.13	19	25									
	Month	March	March	March	March	March	March									
Lab	Sample Number															
CNEP	Canister											81550				
CNEP	Sample Number	88	68	90A	90B	91	92									

APPENDIX B

CNEP DATA SUMMARY REPORTS AND DATA TABLES FOR SAMPLES COLLECTED FROM SEPTEMBER 26, 2006 THROUGH MAY 30, 2007

DATA SUMMARY FOR VOC SAMPLES COLLECTED AT CHEROKEE NATION'S PRYOR SITE FROM SEPTEMBER 26 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2006

I have analyzed the data for our first nineteen VOC samples, which were collected from September 26 through December 31, 2006. The following is a summary of my analyses.

17 samples are valid samples, as the canisters had final pressures that were less than zero. Two samples collected in December (December 1 and December 25) were invalid samples because the final canister pressure was zero, either when the canister was collected in the field or when the canister arrived at ERG. Data completeness (17 valid samples out of 19 total samples) = 89%. This exceeds the desired data completion rate of 85%.

ERG analyzed each of the 17 valid samples for 60 VOCs.

The number of VOCs detected in each sample ranged from 18 (December 31) to 30 (October 10 and November 19).

I compared the concentrations of detected VOCs to the following benchmarks:

EPA Region 6 Human Health Medium-Specific Screening Levels
Chronic inhalation toxicity values (non-cancer and cancer values)
Region 6 Screening values for ambient air
Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) MAACs
ATSDR Minimal Risk Levels (MRLs) for inhalation

3 to 7 detected VOCs equalled or exceeded one or more of these benchmarks in each sample. The VOCs exceeding these benchmarks were as follows:

Acrolein, exceeding a benchmark in 15 samples, with a concentration range in these fifteen samples of 0.39 to 4.3 ug/m3.

Chloromethane, exceeding a benchmark in 9 samples, with a concentration range in these nine samples of 1.1 to 1.49 ug/m3.

1,3-Butadiene, exceeding a benchmark in 6 samples, with a concentration of 0.04 ug/m3 in all six samples.

Chloroform, exceeding a benchmark in 9 samples, with a concentration of 0.10 ug/m3 in all nine samples.

Benzene, exceeding a benchmark in all 17 valid samples, with a concentration range in these seventeen samples of 0.32 to 0.90 ug/m3.

Carbon tetrachloride, exceeding a benchmark in all 17 valid samples, with a concentration range in these seventeen samples of 0.50 to 1.01 ug/m3.

Trichloroethylene, exceeding a benchmark in 6 samples, with a concentration range in these six samples of 0.05 to 0.54 ug/m3.

1,2-Dichloroethane, exceeding a benchmark in 1 sample, with a concentration in this sample of 0.12 ug/m3.

Chloromethane, chloroform, trichloroethylene, and 1,2-dichloroethane exceeded only screening levels.

Benzene and carbon tetrachloride exceeded both screening levels and cancer benchmarks.

1,3-Butadiene exceeded a cancer benchmark.

Acrolein exceeded both screening levels and a non-cancer benchmark. In addition, acrolein was the only VOC to exceed both the ODEQ MAAC and the ATSDR MRL.

Results for the two duplicate samples collected on October 2 were good. Only 5 of the 28 detected VOCs had a relative percent difference (RPD) greater than 20%, and none of these 5 VOCs exceeded a benchmark.

Results for the two duplicate samples collected on November 7 were good. Only 5 of the 25 detected VOCs had a relative percent difference (RPD) greater than 20%, but one of these 5 VOCs was acrolein, which exceeded a benchmark. The RPD for acrolein in the two duplicate samples was 20.29%.

The benzene/toluene ratios in the seventeen valid samples ranged from 0.58 to 1.68. These ratios are NOT characteristic of vehicular (gasoline engine) emissions.

The concentrations of carbon tetrachloride and chlorofluorocarbons [Dichlorodifluoromethane (freon 12), Dichlorotetrafluoroethane (freon 114), and Trichlorofluoromethane (freon 11)] detected in the seventeen samples were relatively stable. The concentration ranges of each of these VOCs in the seventeen samples were as follows: carbon tetrachloride (0.50 to 1.01 ug/m3); Freon 12 (2.08 to 3.02 ug/m3); Freon 114 (0.07 to 0.14 ug/m3); and Freon 11 (1.18 to 1.69 ug/m3). This is consistent with the fact that such VOCs have stable global background concentrations in the USA.

It's interesting to note that the two samples collected in Graseby canisters (canister 3357 on October 20 and canister 3359 on October 26) were the only two samples in which Acrolein was NOT detected. However, Acrolein WAS detected in Graseby canister 3357 on November 25. The other thirteen samples were collected in Restek canisters. In addition, the invalid sample collected on December 1 was collected in Graseby canister 3359, which was exposed to excessive shelter temperatures on October 24, as described in the following paragraph. We have removed the two Graseby canisters from our sample canister rotation.

There was no discernible effect of excessive temperature on the sample collected on October 26. This canister had been exposed to a shelter temperature of > 130 degrees Fahrenheit when the air conditioner failed on October 24. Nevertheless, this was a Graseby canister (canister 3359). When this same canister was used to collect a sample on December 1, it had a final field canister pressure of +2, and it had a pressure of zero when it arrived at ERG. Thus the sample collected in Graseby canister 3359 on December 1 was an invalid sample. This raises the question: was Graseby canister 3359 damaged by the excessive shelter temperature it was exposed to on October 24?

There were no exceedances of NAAQS standards (24-hour or 8-hour standard, as applicable) for NO2, SO2, PM10, PM2.5, and ozone at the Pryor station on any of the seventeen scheduled VOC sample days.

Finally, the wind direction on each of the first six sample dates (September 26 through October 26) was consistently from the E, SE, S, SW, and/or W at speeds ranging from 1 to 9 mph. The wind direction on each of the five sample dates in November (November 1 through 25) ranged consistently from the NE, E, SE, S, SW, W, and/or NW at speeds ranging from zero to 10 mph. The wind direction on the four valid sample days in December (December 7 through 31) was consistently from the E, SE, and/or S at speeds ranging from zero to 13 mph. The Cherokee Heights tribal housing complex and the city of Locust Grove lie to the east and southeast of the Pryor monitoring station; U. S. highway 412 lies south of the station; Mid-America Industrial Park lies to the west, southwest, and northwest of the station; and other industry lies to the northeast of the station. There was no rainfall on thirteen of the fourteen valid sample dates. A total of 0.35-inch of rain fell from 7 pm to midnight on the December 19 sample date. Ambient air temperatures ranged from a low of 14 degrees F on December 7 to a high of 89 degrees F on October 2.

SEASONAL TRENDS IN DETECTED VOC CONCENTRATIONS

Analysis of the 17 valid samples collected from September 26 to December 31, 2006 revealed no obvious seasonal trends in occurrences or concentrations of VOCs (see attached Table of seasonal trends data). Particular VOCs did not appear or disappear with the changing seasons, and the concentrations of detected VOCs did not show a tendency to rise or fall with the changing seasons. The concentrations of detected VOCs remained steady, fluctuating within a narrow range of concentrations that showed little or no change with the seasons. The concentrations of some VOCs remained remarkably constant, perhaps because they were present only at concentrations that were very close to the method detection limits that the lab (ERG) could achieve.

Of the eight VOCs that exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more valid samples, only acrolein showed a very slight tendency to change in concentration with the seasons. Acrolein was present at its highest concentrations in September and early October, then declined very slightly in concentration after mid-October. Six of the other seven VOCs showed no tendency to rise or fall in concentration with the changing seasons, while the seventh VOC (1,2-dichloroethane) was detected in only one sample (November 25).

ERG analyzed each of the 17 valid samples for a suite of 60 VOCs (see attached Table of seasonal trends data). 18 VOCs were detected in all 17 valid samples, while 10 other VOCs were detected in 10 to 16 samples. Of the eight VOCs that exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more valid samples, acrolein, chloromethane, benzene, and carbon tetrachloride were detected in all 17 samples, while chloroform and 1,3-butadiene were detected in 16 and 10 samples, respectively.

Conversely, 26 VOCs were undetected in all 17 samples, while the remaining six VOCs were detected in only 1 to 6 samples. Of the eight VOCs that exceeded a health-based benchmark, TCE was detected in only 6 samples, while 1,2-dichloroethane was detected in only 1 sample.

There are relatively stable global background concentrations of carbon tetrachloride and chlorofluorocarbons (such as freons) in the atmosphere. Therefore, it was no surprise that carbon tetrachloride and the four chlorofluorocarbons included in sample analyses for this project were detected in all 17 valid samples at concentrations that showed no tendency to vary with the changing seasons.

VOC concentrations in three samples collected on one Saturday and two Sundays did not appear to differ significantly from VOC concentrations in samples collected on week days. The only possible exceptions to this generalization are 1,2-dichloroethane, which was detected in only one sample collected on Saturday, November 25, and TCE, which was present at its highest

concentration (0.54 $\mu g/m3$) in the sample collected on Sunday, December 31. This TCE concentration was significantly higher than the next highest TCE concentration of 0.11 $\mu g/m3$. Thus there are few, if any, noticeable changes in VOC concentrations on weekends, when industrial activity at Mid-America Industrial Park and other nearby industries might be expected to decline.

In summary, only three months of VOC data have been collected so far, with all of that data being for the autumn, 2006 season. Data for the winter, spring, and summer seasons must still be collected before any seasonal trends in the occurrences and concentrations of VOCs can be identified.

SEASONAL TRENDS IN DETECTED VOC CONCENTRATIONS AT CHEROKEE HEIGHTS 26 September to 31 December, 2006

Samples were 24-Hour time-weighted average samples collected in 6L canisters and analyzed via EPA Test Method TO-15.

VOC Detected in One							Valid S	Valid Sample Dates **	ates **						
or More Valid Samples	Tues	Mon	Lnes	Med	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sun	Sat	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Sun
*	9/26	10/2	10/10	10/18	10/20	10/26	11/1	11/7	11/13	11/19	11/25	12/7	12/13	12/19	12/31
Acetylene	0.26	0.17	0.33	4.1	0.35	0.34	0.29	09.0	0.56	92.0	0.32	1.00	0.26	0.27	0.22
		0.16		ø				0.61							
Propylene	1.41	2.16	0.95	0.83	0.35	91.0	0.31	09.0	0.93	0.78	0.17	0.78	09.0	0.52	0.57
		2.22						69.0							
Dichlorodifluoromethane	2.23	2.63	2.48	2.53	3.02	2.68	2.38	2.73	2.58	2.28	2.82	2.58	2.18	2.08	2.08
(Freon 12)		2.58						2.68					,	~	
Chloromethane	66.0	1.30	1.03	1.10	1.49	1.37	10.1	1.22	1.01	0.91	1.45	1.10	0.85	0.77	0.79
		1.24						1.22			0				
Dichlorotetrafluoroethane	0.07	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.07	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.07	0.07
(Freon 114)		0.07						0.14							
Vinyl Chloride	ND	QN	ON	QN	N N	N N	P.	N N	ND ND	N N	S.	QN.	ON.	Q.	0.03
		ND						ND							
1,3-Butadiene	0.02	QN	0.04	0.04	0.04	N N	0.02	ON	0.04	0.04	S	0.04	0.02	0.02	R
		QN						Q							
Bromomethane	0.04	0.04	80.0	0.08	0.04	80.0	0.04	0.04	80.0	0.04	80.0	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04
		0.04						0.04			Ale o			J.	

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in this sample.

SEASONAL TRENDS IN DETECTED VOC CONCENTRATIONS AT CHEROKEE HEIGHTS 26 September to 31 December, 2006

Samples were 24-Hour time-weighted average samples collected in 6L canisters and analyzed via EPA Test Method TO-15.

VOC Detected in One							Valid	Valid Sample Dates **	afec **						
or More Valid	Tues	Mon	Tues	Wed	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	wii.S.	Cat	Thure	Wad	Thoc	0
Samples *	9/26	10/2	10/10	10/18	10/20	10/26	11/1	11/7	11/13	11/19	11/25	12/7	12/13	61/C1	12/31
Chloroethane	0.08	0.11	0.05	0.05	80.0	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.08	(R	0.03	0.03	0.03
		0.11		ō				0.05						2010	
Acetonitrile	0.32	0.45	0.27	0.22	0.10	Ð	0.12	0.15	0.17	0.14	0.12	QN	0.19	000	010
		0.45						0.15							2
Acrolein	4.27	4.30	3.42	1.54	0.23	0.18	0.80	1.52	3.93	3.10	0.39	1.93	1.29	2.30	1 08
		3.77						1.24						~	200
Trichlorofluoromethane	1.29	1.52	1.41	1.46	1.69	1.46	1.29	1.52	1.52	1.35	1.69	1.52	1 29	1 24	55
(Freon 11)		1.46						1.46					ì	-	01.1
Acrylonitrile	R	R	QN	QN	Ð	Q.	R	R	QN	QN	R	CN	S	S	CN.
		QN						R					}	į	2
1,1-Dichloroethene	QN.	R	ON	ON.	ND	.QN	QN	N N	DN.	QN	QN	ND	QN	Q	QX
		R						Q.							
Dichloromethane	0.14	0.14	0.24	0.21	0.17	0.21	0.17	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.24	0.17	0 14	0.17	0.14
(Methylene Chloride)		0.14						0.24							
Carbon Disulfide	90.0	60.0	90.0	60.0	90.0	N N	90.0	QN	90.0	0.09	0.13	QN	QN	0.03	0.10
		0.09						R							<u> </u>
					one s										
		1				-									

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample.

Samples were 24-Hour time-weighted average samples collected in 6L canisters and analyzed via EPA Test Method TO-15. 26 September to 31 December, 2006

VOC Detected in One							Valid S	Valid Sample Dates **	ates **						
or More Valid	Tues	Mon	Lnes	Wed	王	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sum	Sat	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Sing.
Samples *	9/26	10/2	10/10	10/18	10/20	10/26	11/1	11/7	11/13	11/19	11/25	12/7	12/13	12/19	12/31
Trichlorotrifluoroethane	69.0	0.77	69.0	0.77	0.85	0.77	19.0	0.77	69.0	69.0	0.92	0.77	69.0	69.0	0.69
		0.77		ø				69.0							
Trans-1,2-	2	2	N N	ON N	R	R	ND	QN	QN	QN.	QN	QN.	QN.	QN	CZ
Dichloroethylene		Q						R							
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	QN	QN	QN	ND ND	QN	Ð	ND	QN	N N	DZ	ON	QN	QN.	QN
		Ð						N N						-	
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	R	R	ON	2	QN	ND	N N	QN	Q.	QN	QN	N N	QN	QN	QN
		Ð						ND							
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	10.20	10.80	8.90	3.28	0.65	0.53	1.83	3.43	14.20	8.48	1.27	4.79	4.40	4.82	4.46
Ž.		11.20						3.49							
Chloroprene	QN.	R	R	ON	ON.	ND	N N	QN	QN.	Q.	QN.	QN	QN	R	QN
		ND						Ð							
cis-1,2-	Q.	ON.	R	QN	N N	R	ND	Q.	QN	N N	N N	S	QN	QN	S
Dichloroethylene		ND						R							
Bromochloromethane	Q.	R	ON	ND	N N	Q.	Ð	Ð	Œ.	N ON	Q.	QN	ND ND	QN.	QN.
		ON.						R							
							0								
															100 CONT. 100 CONT.

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample.

SEASONAL TRENDS IN DETECTED VOC CONCENTRATIONS AT CHEROKEE HEIGHTS 26 September to 31 December, 2006

Samples were 24-Hour time-weighted average samples collected in 6L canisters and analyzed via EPA Test Method TO-15.

VOC Detected in One							Valid S	Valid Sample Dates **	ates **						
or More Valid	Lines	Mon	Tues	Wed	H	Thurs	Wed	Lnes	Mon	Sun	Sat	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Sum
Samples *	9/26	10/2	10/10	10/18	10/20	10/26	11/1	11/7	11/13	11/19	11/25	12/7	12/13	12/19	12/31
Chloroform	0.10	0.05	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.05	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	QN	0.05	0.05	0.10
		0.05		ø				0.05				10 to			
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether	ON	QN	ND	ND	N)	ND ND	N N	QN	QN	£	QN.	R	QN ·	QN	QN
		ND						QN							
1,2-Dichloroethane	R	Ð	ON	QN	ND	QN	ON	QN	N N	Ð.	0.12	QN	Q	QN	N N
		ND						N N						4	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.05
		0.11						0.11							
Benzene	0.42	0.32	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.67	0.32	0.58	0.64	0.90	0.80	0.67	0.42	0.48	0.35
		0.32						0.54							
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.82	1.01	0.63	69.0	0.82	0.88	0.76	1.01	0.76	0.63	0.88	0.76	0.50	0.57	0.50
		1.01						0.88							
tert-Amyl Methyl Ether	R	2	R	ON.	N	R	ND ND	QN	R	N N	Q	QN.	QN	QN	N N
1000		ND						R							
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.05	R	ON	QN	ND	ON N	ND ND	ND	Ð	QN.	QN	Ð	QN	QN.	ON
		Q						ND						1-	
				-			1								The second second

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample.

26 September to 31 December, 2006 Samples were 24-Hour time-weighted average samples collected in 6L canisters and analyzed via EPA Test Method TO-15.

VOC Detected in One							Valid S	Valid Sample Dates **	ates **						
or More Valid	Tues	Mon	Tues	Med	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sun	Sat	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Sum
Samples *	9/26	10/2	10/10	81/01	10/20	10/26	11/1	1177	11/13	11/19	11/25	12/7	12/13	12/19	12/31
Ethyl Acrylate	ND	ON	QN	ON	QN	ON O	R	ON O	QN.	QN	ON	ND	ΠN	QN	ON
		N N		ø				N							
Bromodichloromethane	Q.	Ð	ND ND	N N	N N	R	ON.	R	R	N N	R	ON.	ON ·	QN	ON.
		<u>N</u>						Q							
Trichloroethylene	QN.	0.11	0.05	0.11	ND	ND	0.11	ON	ND	0.11	ND ND	ND ND	QN	QN	0.54
(TCE)		N N						ND						4	
Methyl Methacrylate	QN	QN	ΠN	ON	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	QN	QN	ND
		ON.						R							
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	QN	QN	ND	ND	ND	ND	N	ND	ND	ON	ON	ON	QN	S S
		ON.						ON	5						
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	1.81	1.60	1.52	99.0	0.12	.QN	0.37	0.78	1.52	0.74	91.0	0.58	0.33	0.45	0.49
		1.81						0.62							
trans-1,3-	R	Q.	R	S	Ð	Q.	R	R	R	R	ND ND	ND ND	ND	QN	ON.
Dichloropropene		ON						N N							
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	R	R	N N	R	N N	ON.	N N	R	R	Q	ND	ND	QN	ND.	ND
		ND						ND						4	

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample.

Samples were 24-Hour time-weighted average samples collected in 6L canisters and analyzed via EPA Test Method TO-15. 26 September to 31 December, 2006

VOC Detected in One					9		Valid S	Valid Sample Dates **	ates **						
or More Valid	Lnes	Mon	Lnes	Wed	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Lues	Mon	Sun	Sat	Thurs	Med	Tues	Sum
Samples *	9/26	10/2	10/10	10/18	10/20	10/26	11/1	11/7	11/13	11/19	11/25	12/7	12/13	12/19	12/31
Toluene	0.49	0.23	1.10	0.83	0.49	0.42	0.34	0.53	0.83	1.25	0.57	0.57	0.49	0.64	0.30
		0.19		Ė				0.57							
Dibromochloromethane	QN	QN	QN	ND ND	R	R	R	R	ND	ND	QN	QN	ON.	N N	QN.
		ND						R							
1,2-Dibromoethane	ND	ON	QN	QN	QN	ND	ND	N N	ND	QN	ON.	R	2	R	Q.
		ND				1000		R						4	
n-Octane	0.23	0.14	0.28	0.14	0.14	60.0	60.0	0.09	0.23	0.19	0.14	QN	0.14	0.05	60.0
		0.09						0.09							
Tetrachloroethylene	0.07	Ð	0.07	QN.	QN	ON	QN	ON	ON	0.14	ND	ON	0.07	0.07	0.07
		R						N							
Chlorobenzene	R	QN	Q	QN	QN	ND.	ND ND	N N	N Q	QN	ND	Q.	QN	QN	R
		ND						ND							
Ethylbenzene	60.0	0.04	0.22	0.17	0.13	0.09	60.0	0.09	0.17	0.26	60.0	0.13	60.0	0.04	0.04
		0.04						0.09							
m,p-Xylene	0.26	60.0	0.57	0.44	0.22	0.13	0.22	0.22	0.39	19.0	0.17	0.26	0.17	60.0	60.0
		0.13						0.17						3 -	
									8					-	

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample.

SEASONAL TRENDS IN DETECTED VOC CONCENTRATIONS AT CHEROKEE HEIGHTS 26 September to 31 December, 2006

Samples were 24-Hour time-weighted average samples collected in 6L canisters and analyzed via EPA Test Method TO-15.

VOC Detected in One							Valid S	Valid Sample Dates **	ates **						
or More Valid	Lnes	Mon	Lues	Wed	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sun	Sat	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Sun
Samples *	9/26	10/2	10/10	10/18	10/20	10/26	11/1	11/7	11/13	11/19	11/25	12/7	12/13	12/19	12/31
Bromoform	2	2	2	N N	Ð	<u>N</u>	Q	R	ND	QN.	QN.	N N	ND	QN.	QN
		Q		ø				2							
Styrene	9	0.04	0.17	0.13	60.0	60.0	0.04	60.0	0.21	0.17	0.09	0.00	ON .	ON.	92.0
		0.04						0.09							Ì
1,1,2,2-	R	R	Ð	ND	ND	ON.	R	QN.	R	R	R	Q2	QN	GN	CN
Tetrachloroethane		ND						S							
o-Xylene	0.13	60.0	0.26	0.22	0.13	60.0	60.0	0.13	0.17	0.31	60.0	0.13	60.0	0.04	0.04
	-	0.04						0.09							
1.3.5-Trimethylbenzene	0.05	0.05	0.10	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.10	0.10	0.05	0.05	N N	QN	QN
		N N						0.05							!
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.10	0.10	0.30	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.30	01.0	0.15	0.05	QN	0.05
		0.15						0.10							
m-Dichlorobenzene	2	Q N	2	2	2	R	N N	ND	ND	ND	Ð	QN	ON	QN	Q
		Q.						ND							
Chloromethylbenzene	2	2 5	R	Ð	QN Q	R	R	Q E	QN	Ð	QN	Q.	QN.	ND.	ND
		di.						UNI							
														4	
										-					The second secon

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample.

Samples were 24-Hour time-weighted average samples collected in 6L canisters and analyzed via EPA Test Method TO-15. 26 September to 31 December, 2006

VOC Detected in One							Valid S	Valid Sample Dates **	** 2916						
or More Valid	Tues	Mon	Tues	Wed	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sim	A CO	Thure	Wed	Thec	,
Samples *	9/26	10/2	10/10	10/18	10/20	10/26	1//1	1117	11/13	11/19	11/25	17/21	12/13	12/10	12/21
p-Dichlorobenzene	2	R	90.0	QN	QN	R	QN	N ON	N N	90.0	S	Z	S S	CN	CIN
		N N		Ġ				2))	3	Į.	J.
o-Dichlorobenzene	QN	ON.	QN	ND	QN.	QN.	QN	QN	R	N N	R	S	CIN	S	CN
		ND						R)	2	- A
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	ON.	QN	QN	S S	QN	Ð	QN	R	QN.	S	CN.	CIN	5	S
		N						Q))	2	1	GM
Hexachloro-1,3-	Q	N N	QN	QN	S	R	R	GN	S	S	S	CN	CN	CIN.	GIN
Butadiene		N N						S))		ğ	ON .	Q.
					5										

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample.

DATA SUMMARY FOR VOC SAMPLES COLLECTED AT CHEROKEE NATION'S PRYOR SITE FROM JANUARY 6 THROUGH MARCH 31, 2007

I have analyzed the data for our sixteen VOC samples of the winter season, which were collected from January 6 through March 31, 2007. The following is a summary of my analyses.

All 16 samples are valid samples, as the canisters had final pressures that were less than zero. Data completeness (16 valid samples out of 16 total samples) = 100%. This exceeds the desired data completion rate of 85%.

ERG analyzed each of the 16 valid samples for 60 VOCs.

The number of VOCs detected in each sample ranged from 14 (January 30) to 26 (January 24). The average number of VOCs detected in each sample was 18.9, which was lower than the average of 26.1 VOCs detected in the 17 valid samples collected during the autumn of 2006.

The lab (ERG) reported the same MDLs for samples collected in March as for the samples collected in February. 12 of these MDLs achieved for VOCs in samples collected in February and March were higher than one of the EPA Region 6 Human Health Medium-Specific Screening Levels of concern. [These screening levels are described in the following paragraph.] MDLs in excess of a screening level is of concern because it includes the MDLs for 1,3-butadiene, acrolein, carbon tetrachloride, and trichloroethylene – four of the VOCs that exceeded a screening level in one or more samples collected in the autumn of 2006 and in the winter of 2007. These higher MDLs make it more likely that false negatives will be reported for VOCs of particular concern. The probability of false negatives must be reduced in future sample analyses.

I compared the concentrations of detected VOCs in the January, February, and March samples to the following benchmarks:

EPA Region 6 Human Health Medium-Specific Screening Levels Chronic inhalation toxicity values (non-cancer and cancer values) Region 6 Screening values for ambient air Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) MAACs ATSDR Minimal Risk Levels (MRLs) for inhalation

3 to 5 detected VOCs equalled or exceeded one or more of these benchmarks in each sample. The VOCs exceeding these benchmarks were as follows:

Acrolein, exceeding a benchmark in all 16 valid samples, with a concentration range in these sixteen samples of 0.68 to 2.64 ug/m3.

Chloromethane, exceeding a benchmark in 2 samples, with a concentration range in those two samples of 1.16 to 1.18 ug/m3.

1,3-Butadiene, exceeding a benchmark in 4 samples, with a concentration range in these four samples of 0.04 to 0.08 ug/m3.

Chloroform, exceeding a benchmark in 2 samples, with a concentration in each of those two samples of 0.09 ug/m3.

Benzene, exceeding a benchmark in all 16 valid samples, with a concentration range in these sixteen samples of 0.26 to 1.09 ug/m3.

Carbon tetrachloride, exceeding a benchmark in all 16 valid samples, with a concentration range in these sixteen samples of 0.50 to 0.79 ug/m3.

Trichloroethylene, exceeding a benchmark in 2 samples, with a concentration in each of those two samples of 0.11 ug/m3.

Chloromethane and chloroform exceeded only screening levels.

Benzene, carbon tetrachloride, and trichloroethylene exceeded both screening levels and cancer benchmarks.

1,3-Butadiene exceeded a cancer benchmark.

Acrolein exceeded both screening levels and a non-cancer benchmark. In addition, acrolein was the only VOC to exceed an ATSDR MRL.

Results for the two duplicate samples collected on January 12 were good. Only 4 of the 18 detected VOCs had a relative percent difference (RPD) greater than 20%, but one of these 4 VOCs was benzene, which exceeded a benchmark. The RPD for benzene in the two duplicate samples was 41.42%.

The benzene/toluene ratios in the ten valid samples ranged from 0.75 to 1.79. These ratios are NOT characteristic of vehicular (gasoline engine) emissions.

The concentrations of carbon tetrachloride and chlorofluorocarbons [Dichlorodifluoromethane (freon 12), Dichlorotetrafluoroethane (freon 114), and Trichlorofluoromethane (freon 11)] detected in the sixteen samples were relatively stable. The concentration ranges of each of these VOCs in the sixteen samples were as follows: carbon tetrachloride (0.50 to 0.79 ug/m3); Freon 12 (2.08 to 3.59 ug/m3); Freon 114 (0.07 to 0.14 ug/m3); and Freon 11 (1.07 to 2.17 ug/m3). This is consistent with the fact that such VOCs have stable global background concentrations in the USA.

There were no exceedances of NAAQS standards (24-hour or 8-hour standard, as applicable) for NO2, SO2, PM10, PM2.5, and ozone at the Pryor station on any of the fifteen VOC sample days.

Finally, the wind direction varied considerably from one sample date to the next in January and February, being predominantly from the NE, E, SE, S, and/or SW on January 6, 12, and 30 and on February 5, 11, and 23, and predominantly from the west on January 18. Winds were predominantly from the west during most of February 17 before shifting to the east late in the day. Winds were light (1 to 6 mph) and variable on January 24, coming from all points of the compass except the north. Wind speeds varied from 0 to 16 mph on the other fourteen sample days. Winds were consistently from the south and southwest on the six sample days in March, while also coming from the west on March 1 and from the east on March 7. The Cherokee Heights tribal housing complex and the city of Locust Grove lie to the east and southeast of the Pryor monitoring station; U. S. highway 412 lies south of the station; Mid-America Industrial Park lies to the west, southwest, and northwest of the station; and other industry lies to the northeast of the station. There was little or no rainfall on fourteen of the fifteen valid sample dates, while 1.08 inches of freezing rain fell on January 12. Ambient air temperatures ranged from a low of 20 degrees F on January 30 to a high of 76 degrees F on March 25.

SEASONAL TRENDS IN DETECTED VOC CONCENTRATIONS

Analysis of the 33 valid samples collected from September 26, 2006 to March 31, 2007 revealed no obvious seasonal trends in occurrences or concentrations of VOCs (see attached Tables of seasonal trends data). The decline in the average number of VOCs detected in the January, February, and March samples was an artifact of the higher MDLs achieved by the lab for those samples (see discussion of MDLs above). Otherwise, particular VOCs did not appear or disappear with the changing seasons, and the concentrations of detected VOCs did not show a tendency to rise or fall with the changing seasons. The concentrations of detected VOCs remained steady, fluctuating within a narrow range of concentrations that showed little or no change with the seasons. The concentrations of some VOCs remained remarkably constant, perhaps because they were present only at concentrations that were very close to the method detection limits that the lab (ERG) could achieve.

Of the eight VOCs that exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more of the 33 valid samples, only acrolein showed a very slight tendency to change in concentration with the seasons. Acrolein was present at its highest concentrations in September and early October, then declined very slightly in concentration after mid-October. Six of the other seven VOCs showed no tendency to rise or fall in concentration with the changing seasons, while the seventh VOC (1,2-dichloroethane) was detected in only one sample (November 25). Meanwhile, the concentrations of carbon tetrachloride leveled off at the low end of their range during the months of January and February, 2007.

ERG analyzed each of the 16 valid samples collected in January, February, and March, 2007 for a suite of 60 VOCs (see attached Table of seasonal trends data). 13 VOCs were detected in all 16 valid samples, while 7 other VOCs were detected in 8 to 15 samples. Of the seven VOCs that exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more of these 16 valid samples, acrolein, chloromethane, benzene, and carbon tetrachloride were detected in all 16 samples, while 1,3-butadiene was detected in 4 samples, chloroform was detected in 3 samples, and trichloroethylene was detected in 2 samples.

Conversely, 30 VOCs were undetected in all 16 samples, while the 10 remaining VOCs were detected in 1 to 5 samples.

There are relatively stable global background concentrations of carbon tetrachloride and chlorofluorocarbons (such as freons) in the atmosphere. Therefore, it was no surprise that carbon tetrachloride and three of the other four chlorofluorocarbons included in sample analyses for this project were detected in all 16 valid samples collected in January, February, and March at concentrations that showed no tendency to vary with the changing seasons.

VOC concentrations in the five samples collected on a Saturday or Sunday did not appear to differ significantly from VOC concentrations in samples collected on week days. Thus there are few, if any, noticeable changes in VOC concentrations on weekends, when industrial activity at Mid-America Industrial Park and other nearby industries might be expected to decline.

The ice storm that began on January 12 and the subsequent electrical power outages that it caused did not have any noticeable effect on VOC concentrations. Such concentrations did not rise or fall sharply from their normal ranges on the two sample days (January 12 and 18) most affected by the storm.

In summary, six months of VOC data have been collected so far, and, as yet, no seasonal trends have become apparent in the occurrences and concentrations of VOCs.

Samples were 24-Hour time-weighted average samples collected in 6L canisters and analyzed via EPA Test Method TO-15. 6 January to 31 March, 2007

VOC Detected in One							Valid S	Valid Sample Dates **	ates **			1 52			
or More Valid Samples	Sat	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Lnes	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sun	Sat
*	1/6	1/12	1/18	1/24	1/30	2/5	2/11	2/17	2/23	3/1	3/7	3/13	3/19	3/25	3/31
Acetylene	1.49	1.09	0.43	1.29	0.25	0.63	0.94	0.63	0.22	0.25	0.50	0.23	0.19	0.10	0.15
100 mm (100 mm)		1.20													
Propylene	09.0	0.71	0.31	0.29	0.31	0.32	66.0	0.15	0.22	0.24	0.63	0.25	0.27	0.47	0.33
		0.88													
Dichlorodifluoromethane	2.28	2.33	2.13	2.08	2.08	2.43	2.47	2.42	2.10	2.38	2.49	2.45	2.22	2.25	3.59
(Freon 12)		2.53													
Chloromethane	1.06	1.08	0.81	0.83	0.81	0.93	1.01	0.95	0.87	1.03	1.06	1.18	1.02	1.06	1.01
		1.16													
Dichlorotetrafluoroethane	0.14	U.07U	U.07U	0.07	0.07U	0.12U	0.11U	0.12U	0.11U	0.11U	0.13U	0.13U	0.11U	0.11U	0.14U
(Freon 114)		0.14													
Vinyl Chloride	ND	QN.	QN	QN.	R	R	0.01U	R	S	S	QN	N N	R	0.01U	R
		0.03U													
1,3-Butadiene	0.04U	0.02U 0.04U	0.02U	0.04	Ð	0.04	0.08	Q.	0.03U	Q.	0.04	0.03U	0.02U	0.02U	0.02U
Bromomethane	0.04U	0.04U 0.04U	0.04U	0.04	0.04U	0.05U	0.05U	0.04U	0.04U	0.05U	0.05U	U70.0	0.05U	0.05U	0.05U
		0.04U			COSMIL										
,											¥0: -				

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in this sample. U = Under detection limit.

Samples were 24-Hour time-weighted average samples collected in 6L canisters and analyzed via EPA Test Method TO-15. 6 January to 31 March, 2007

VOC Detected in One							Valid S	Valid Sample Dates **	ates **						
or More Valid	Sat	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sun	Sat
Samples *	1/6	1/12	1/18	1/24	1/30	2/5	2/11	2/17	2/23	3/1	3/7	3/13	3/19	3/25	3/31
Chloroethane	0.03U	0.03U 0.03U	2	0.03	ND	0.05U	0.04U	0.03U	90.0	0.05	90.0	0.08	0.05	90.0	0.05
Acetonitrile	2	22	0.10	0.14U	80.0	60.0	0.18	0.10	0.22	0.14	0.28	0.32	0.24	0.34	0.38
Acrolein	1.31	0.97	1.24	1.33	1.59	0.89	1.36	89.0	1.33	1.86	2.64	1.07	1.30	2.04	2.50
Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	1.29	1.29	1.18	1.24	1.07	1.32	1.37	1.36	1.27	1.44	1.40	1.41	1.33	1.29	2.17
Acrylonitrile	Q	99	Ð	Ð.	8	N N	QN	Q.	QN.	QN	Ð	ND	Ð	ND PD	£
1,1-Dichlorocthene	QN	88	<u>R</u>	ON	QN	QN Q	£ .	Ð	S S	QN	g	N N	Ð	E	S
Dichloromethane (Methylene Chloride)	0.21	0.35	0.21	0.17	0.14	0.15	0.20	0.16	0.16	0.19	0.20	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.19
Carbon Disulfide	0.06U	0.13 0.13	ND	<u>R</u>	QN O	0.16	Ð.	Ð	£	0.03U	Ð	g	QN	0.14	0.05U

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample. U = Under detection limit.

Samples were 24-Hour time-weighted average samples collected in 6L canisters and analyzed via EPA Test Method TO-15. 6 January to 31 March, 2007

VOC Detected in One							Valid	Valid Samule Dates **	2400						
or More Valid	Sat	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri	Thire	Wed	Tipe	Mon	Sun	Cat
Samples *	1/6	1/12	1/18	1/24	1/30	2/5	2/11	2/17	2/23	3/1	3/7	3/13	3/10	30%	2/21
Trichlorotrifluoroethane	0.61	0.61	69.0	0.77	69.0	0.85	0.65	0.82	0.78	0.81	0.89	0.92	0.83	0.83	0.92
		69.0													
Trans-1,2-	R	R	£	R	QN	QN	QN	2	QN	CN.	S	E S	S	5	15
Dichloroethylene		R))	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
1,1-Dichlorocthane	2	99	QN	QN O	2	<u>R</u>	2	QN	ND ND	Ð	ND	8	Ð	QN	R
Methyl tertaButyl Ether	PN PI	Q.	QN	ON	N N	N N	ND ND	QN	QN.	R	N N	Q2	CN.	CZ	S
		R											<u>}</u>) :	<u> </u>
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	2.42	1.80	5.69	2.28	3.43	1.74	5.29	1.11	2.58	3.28	7.18	2.04	4 58	009	627
		3.13										i		2	73:0
Chloroprene	2	99	Q.	Q.	Ð	£	Ð	QQ.	Q	N N	Ð	N N	S	Q	S
cis-1,2-	ON.	R	R	R	N N	Q.	R	E	CN	S	E	E C	CN.	N.	CIN
Dichloroethylene		QN								1))	3	3	3
Bromochloromethane	2	25	Ð	£	Ð	2	S S	R	ND	Ð	B	Q.	S	Ð	0.02U

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{***} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample. U = Under detection limit.

SEASONAL TRENDS IN DETECTED VOC CONCENTRATIONS AT CHEROKEE HEIGHTS 6 January to 31 March, 2007

VOC Detected in One							Valid S	Valid Sample Dates **	ates **						
or More Valid	Sat	Fri	Thurs	Med	Lnes	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sum	Sat
Samples *	1/6	1/12	1/18	1/24	1/30	2/5	2/11	2/17	2/23	3/1	3/7	3/13	3/19	3/25	3/31
Chloroform	0.05U	0.10U 0.10U	0.10U	0.05	QN	0.070	U70.0	U.07U	0.06U	0.00	0.07U	0.08U	0.06U	0.05U	0.00
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether	2	22	£	2	QN	ON O	ND ND	<u>R</u>	N N	Ð	QN .	Q.	Q.	N N	S S
1,2-Dichloroethanc	QN	88	2	QN.	QN	N N	Q.	ON O	Ð	N N	N N	Q.	QN ON	Q.	Ð
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.05U	0.05U 0.11U	0.05U	0.05	0.05U	60.0	0.08U	60.0	60.0	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.08U	0.12
Benzene	0.58	1.02	0.42	0.45	0.29	0.53	1.09	0.26	0.29	0.30	0.58	0.49	0.33	0.31	0.30
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.52	0.55	0.56	09.0	0.59	0.52	0.79
tert-Amyl Methyl Ether	QN ND	99	<u>R</u>	Ð	Ð	Ð.	£	Ð	QN	g	QN	R	Ø.	Ð	<u>R</u>
1,2-Dichloropropane	QN	99	Ø.	Q.	Ð	<u>R</u>	Q.	QN	S S	Ð	QN QN	S	B	Q.	- Q
. 4															

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in Bold Face. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample. U = Under detection limit.

or More Valid							Valid	Valid Samulo Dates **	totos **						
	Sat	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sum	Sat	Fri	Thure	Wed	Tuga	Mon	5	5
Samples *	1/6	1/12	1/18	1/24	1/30	2/5	2/11	2/17	2/23	3/1	3/7	3/13	3/19	3/25	3/31
Ethyl Acrylate	2	99	R	QN Q	QN	ON	S	QN	Ð	E S	Ð	Q.	Q.	S S	QN
Bromodichloromethane	Q.	見見	Ð	Ð	Ð	Q.	QN	g	ND ND	QN	g	ND ND	Q.	Q.	QN ND
Trichloroethylene (TCE)	0.05U	0.05U 0.05U	Q.	QN	0.11U	Ð.	0.03U	2	ND ND	ND ND	0.11	QN	QN	0.11	ON
Methyl Methacrylate	R	99	Q	QN	ON	Q.	ND	ON O	ND	QN.	QN	ND	QN	S.	QN
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	Ð	22	QN	Q.	Ð	<u>R</u>	R	N N	ON	ON	N	N N	N N	Q	ON
obutyl Ketone	0.41	0.33	0.21	0.21	0.25	0.19	0.45	0.14	0.21	0.30	0.44	0.18	0.26	0.42	0.39
	QN	25	Ð	£	Ð	R	Ð	Ð	Ð	ND	N N	Ð	2	N N	Q.
1,1,2-Trichlorocthane	ON N	99	Q.	Q.	Q.	QN	QN	Q.	QN.	QN	QN	QN	ND	N N	£
7-1															

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample. U = Under detection limit.

SEASONAL TRENDS IN DETECTED VOC CONCENTRATIONS AT CHEROKEE HEIGHTS 6 January to 31 March, 2007

VOC Detected in One							A L. H. J. V.	-	4.4						
							Valld	valid Sample Dates **	ates **						
DITAIN C VAILED	Nat.	딕	Lhurs	Wed	Lnes	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri	Thurs	Med	Tues	Mon	Sum	Sat
Samples	1/6	1/12	1/18	1/24	1/30	2/2	2/11	2/17	2/23	3/1	3/7	3/13	3/19	3/75	3/31
Toluenc	0.57	0.57	0.49	09.0	0.34	09.0	0.80	0.25	0.17	0.23	0.46	0.40	0.25	0.29	0.33
Dibromochloromethane	E	2 5	QN	Ð	R	Ð	Ð	Q.	QV	ND ND	Q	ND	2	N N	ND
1,2-Dibromoethanc	S	2 2	Ð	QN	QN.	QN.	ND	Ð	ND ND	ND	S	N N	QN.	S S	£
n-Octane	0.14	0.14	60.0	60.0	0.05U	90.0	0.13	Ð	ON	0.08	0.07	0.04	ND	0.03	0.07
Tetrachloroethylene	0.07U	0.07U 0.07U	0.070	0.07U	0.07U	g	D90.0	0.04U	0.05U	QN	0.05U	0.10	0.07U	0.05U	0.03U
Chlorobenzene	<u>R</u>	22	QN	Q.	Q	0.01U	QV .	8	S	R	Q.	Ð.	E	ON	N
Ethylbenzenc	0.13	0.13	0.09U	60.0	0.09U	0.11	0.25	0.03U	0.02U	0.03U	60.0	0.07	0.03U	0.03U	0.05U
m,p-Xylene	0.26	0.26	0.17U	0.17	0.13U	0.25	0.16	0.05U	0.04U	0.05U	0.11	0.13	U90.0	0.08U	0.10
2															

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample. U = Under detection limit.

VOC Detected in One							Valid	Valid Samula Dates **	after ##						
or More Valid	Sat	FFi	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri	Thure	Wed	Tipe	Mon	Cum	Cat
Samples *	1/6	1/12	1/18	1/24	1/30	2/5	2/11	2/17	2/23	3/1	3/2	3/13	3/10	3/75	3/21
Bromoform	R	QN	R	Ð	ON	QN	E	E	2	E C	E C	CIN	CIN CIN	CAIC CIN	ALC AL
		ND))	9	3	2	9	ON THE	3
Styrene	0.09U	0.09U	0.04U	0.13	QN	QN	0.11	R	0.021	0.32	CZ.	S	E C	N	C N
		0.09U										2	}	J.	- A
1,1,2,2-	R	N N	R	N N	QN.	QN	N	R	E S	E S	E	CN	CIN CIN	E CENTRAL CONTRACTOR C	E C
Tetrachloroethane		N)	?	3	2	3	2
o-Xylene	0.13U	0.13U	U60.0	60.0	U60.0	0.12	0.24	0.03U	0.02U	0.04U	0.07	0.07	0.0371	0.0411	0.0411
		0.13U							0				0	5.5	2
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.05U	0.05U	2	0.05	R	0.03U	90.0	CN.	CIN	2	0.0111	0.071	E	0.0111	0.0171
		0.05U)))	0.00	0.020	3	0.010	0.010
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.15	0.15	0.05U	0.05	0.10U	0.13	0.14	0.03U	0.011	0.05	0.0411	0.06	0.0311	90.0	0.0311
		0.10U) }		20.0	0.00	3.5	0.00.0
m-Dichlorobenzene	<u>R</u>	99	QN	Q.	QN	Q.	Ð	Ð	QN	R	S	QN	S	R	S
Chloromethylbenzene	ON	QN.	QN	QN.	QN.	QN.	R	R	R	Q	QN	R	E	CN	E
		R))	2

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample. U = Under detection limit.

Sat Fri
1/12 1/18 1/24
ON ON ON ON ON ON ON ON
ON ON ON ON ON ON ON ON
ND ND ND ND ND ND ND

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in Bold Face. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample. U = Under detection limit.

DATA SUMMARY FOR VOC SAMPLES COLLECTED AT CHEROKEE NATION'S PRYOR SITE FROM APRIL 6 THROUGH MAY 30, 2007

I have analyzed the data for our first ten valid VOC samples of the spring season, which were collected from April 6 through May 30, 2007. The following is a summary of my analyses.

10 of 12 samples are valid samples, as the canisters had final pressures that were less than zero. Data completeness (10 valid samples out of 12 total samples) = 83%. This is just under the desired data completion rate of 85%. Duplicate samples collected on April 18 are invalid due to a suspected canister leak.

ERG analyzed each of the 10 valid samples for 60 VOCs.

The number of VOCs detected in each sample ranged from 15 (Sunday, May 6) to 25 (April 30). The average number of VOCs detected in each sample was 22, which was higher than the average of 18.9 VOCs detected in the 16 valid samples collected during the winter of 2007 but lower than the average of 26.1 VOCs detected in the 17 valid samples collected during the autumn of 2006.

The lab (ERG) reported the same MDLs for samples collected in April and May as for the samples collected in February and March. 12 of these MDLs achieved for VOCs in samples collected in February through May were higher than one of the EPA Region 6 Human Health Medium-Specific Screening Levels of concern. [These screening levels are described in the following paragraph.] MDLs in excess of a screening level are of concern because they include the MDLs for 1,3-butadiene, acrolein, carbon tetrachloride, and trichloroethylene – four of the VOCs that exceeded a screening level in one or more samples collected in the autumn of 2006, in the winter of 2007, and in the spring of 2007. These higher MDLs make it more likely that false negatives will be reported for VOCs of particular concern. The probability of false negatives must be reduced in future sample analyses.

I compared the concentrations of detected VOCs in the April and May samples to the following benchmarks:

EPA Region 6 Human Health Medium-Specific Screening Levels Chronic inhalation toxicity values (non-cancer and cancer values) Region 6 Screening values for ambient air Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (ODEQ) MAACs ATSDR Minimal Risk Levels (MRLs) for inhalation

3 to 5 detected VOCs equalled or exceeded one or more of these benchmarks in each sample. The VOCs exceeding these benchmarks were as follows:

Acrolein, exceeding a benchmark in all 10 valid samples, with a concentration range in these ten samples of 0.82 to 3.38 ug/m3.

Chloromethane, exceeding a benchmark in 6 samples, with a concentration range in those six samples of 1.13 to 1.53 ug/m3.

1,3-Butadiene, exceeding a benchmark in 1 sample, with a concentration in that sample of 0.04 ug/m3.

Chloroform, exceeding a benchmark in 3 samples, with a concentration range in those three samples of 0.09 to 0.10 ug/m3.

Benzene, exceeding a benchmark in all 10 valid samples, with a concentration range in these ten samples of 0.21 to 0.70 ug/m3.

Carbon tetrachloride, exceeding a benchmark in all 10 valid samples, with a concentration range in these ten samples of 0.55 to 0.81 ug/m3.

Trichloroethylene, exceeding a benchmark in 1 sample, with a concentration in that sample of 0.13 ug/m3.

Chloromethane, chloroform, and trichloroethylene exceeded only screening levels.

Benzene and carbon tetrachloride exceeded both screening levels and cancer benchmarks.

1,3-Butadiene exceeded a cancer benchmark.

Acrolein exceeded both screening levels and a non-cancer benchmark. In addition, acrolein was the only VOC to exceed an ODEQ MAAC and an ATSDR MRL.

Results for the two duplicate samples collected on April 30 were good. Only 7 of the 23 to 25 detected VOCs had a relative percent difference (RPD) greater than 20%, but one of these 7 VOCs was acrolein, which exceeded two benchmarks. The RPD for acrolein in the two duplicate samples was 26%.

The benzene/toluene ratios in the ten valid samples ranged from 0.35 to 1.75. The ratio in the sample collected on Friday, May 18 was 0.35, which is characteristic of vehicular (gasoline engine) emissions. The ratios in the other nine samples ranged from 0.55 to 1.75, and these ratios are NOT characteristic of vehicular (gasoline engine) emissions. The May 18 sample is the only one of the 43 valid samples collected from September 26, 2006 to May 30, 2007 that had a ratio characteristic of vehicular emissions. There is no apparent explanation for the low ratio in this particular sample.

The concentrations of carbon tetrachloride and chlorofluorocarbons [Dichlorodifluoromethane (freon 12), Dichlorotetrafluoroethane (freon 114), and Trichlorofluoromethane (freon 11)] detected in the ten samples were relatively stable. The concentration ranges of each of these VOCs in the ten samples were as follows: carbon tetrachloride (0.55 to 0.81 ug/m3); Freon 12 (2.20 to 2.80 ug/m3); Freon 114 (0.04U to 0.13U ug/m3); and Freon 11 (1.23 to 1.58 ug/m3). This is consistent with the fact that such VOCs have stable global background concentrations in the USA.

There were no exceedances of NAAQS standards (24-hour or 8-hour standard, as applicable) for NO2, SO2, PM10, PM2.5, and ozone at the Pryor station on any of the nine VOC sample days.

Finally, the wind direction was predominantly from the south on seven of the nine sample days, with the wind also coming from the west on April 6 and May 12, and from the east on April 12, May 12, and May 18. Wind speeds varied from 0 to 13 mph on seven of the nine sample days. Valid meteorological data are not available for May 24 and May 30 due to technical problems with the datalogger at Cherokee Heights on those days. The Cherokee Heights tribal housing complex and the city of Locust Grove lie to the east and southeast of the Pryor monitoring station; U. S. highway 412 lies south of the station; Mid-America Industrial Park lies to the west, southwest, and northwest of the station; and other industry lies to the northeast of the station.

There was little or no rainfall on six of the nine valid sample dates, while 1.94 inches of rain fell on April 24. Ambient air temperatures ranged from a low of 32 degrees F on April 6 to a high of 86 degrees F on May 6 and May 12.

SEASONAL TRENDS IN DETECTED VOC CONCENTRATIONS

Analysis of the 43 valid samples collected from September 26, 2006 to May 30, 2007 revealed no obvious seasonal trends in occurrences or concentrations of VOCs (see attached Tables of seasonal trends data), although the data has not been subjected to statistical analysis. The decline in the average number of VOCs detected in the 2007 samples was an artifact of the higher MDLs achieved by the lab for those samples (see discussion of MDLs above). Otherwise, particular VOCs did not appear or disappear with the changing seasons, and the concentrations of detected VOCs did not show a tendency to rise or fall with the changing seasons. The concentrations of detected VOCs remained steady, fluctuating within a narrow range of concentrations that showed little or no change with the seasons. The concentrations of some VOCs remained remarkably constant, perhaps because they were present only at concentrations that were very close to the method detection limits that the lab (ERG) could achieve.

Of the eight VOCs that exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more of the 43 valid samples, only acrolein showed a very slight tendency to change in concentration with the seasons. Acrolein was present at its highest concentrations in September and early October, then declined very slightly in concentration after mid-October. Six of the other seven VOCs showed no tendency to rise or fall in concentration with the changing seasons, while the seventh VOC (1,2-dichloroethane) was detected in only one sample (November 25). Meanwhile, the concentrations of carbon tetrachloride leveled off at the low end of their range during the months of January and February, 2007.

ERG analyzed each of the 10 valid samples collected in April and May, 2007 for a suite of 60 VOCs (see attached Table of seasonal trends data). 14 VOCs were detected in all 10 valid samples, while 9 other VOCs were detected in 6 to 9 samples. Of the seven VOCs that exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more of these 10 valid samples, acrolein, chloromethane, benzene, and carbon tetrachloride were detected in all 10 samples, while chloroform was detected in 3 samples, and 1,3-butadiene and trichloroethylene were detected in 1 sample.

Conversely, 32 VOCs were undetected in all 10 samples, while the 5 remaining VOCs were detected in 1 to 3 samples.

There are relatively stable global background concentrations of carbon tetrachloride and chlorofluorocarbons (such as freons) in the atmosphere. Therefore, it was no surprise that carbon tetrachloride and three of the other four chlorofluorocarbons included in sample analyses for this project were detected in all 10 valid samples collected in April and May at concentrations that showed no tendency to vary with the changing seasons.

VOC concentrations in the two samples collected on a Saturday or Sunday did not appear to differ significantly from VOC concentrations in samples collected on week days. Thus there are few, if any, noticeable changes in VOC concentrations on weekends, when industrial activity at Mid-America Industrial Park and other nearby industries might be expected to decline. However, the minimum number of VOCs detected (15) occurred on Sunday, May 6.

In summary, eight months of VOC data have been collected so far, and, as yet, no seasonal trends have become apparent in the occurrences and concentrations of VOCs.

SEASONAL TRENDS IN DETECTED VOC CONCENTRATIONS AT CHEROKEE HEIGHTS 6 April to 29 June, 2007

							Valid S	Valid Sample Dates **	ates **						
or More Valid Samples	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Lnes	Mon	Sun	Sat	FH	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri
Ąt	4/6	4/12	4/18	4/24	4/30	9/9	5/12	5/18	5/24	5/30	9/9	6/11	6/17	6/23	6/56
Acetylene	2.29	0.48		0.45	0.41	0.10	0.34	0.55	0.20	0.46					
Ġ.					0.43										
Propylene	0.87	0.61		1.09	0.54	0.48	0.37	0.50	0.43	0.72					
					0.46										
Dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12)	2.42	2.51		2.74	2.59	2.21	2.77	2.80	2.20	2.40					
Chloromethane	1.22	1.07		1.53	1.22	1.01	1.18	1.09	1.01	1.13					
-					1.32									one u	
Dichlorotetrafluoroethane 0.04U	0.04U	0.111		0.11U	0.13U	0.11U	0.11U	0.11U	0.10U	0.11U					
(Freon 114)					0.12U										
Vinyl Chloride	2	R		ON.	Ð	R	0.02U	N N	R	0.01U					
					N N		*								
1,3-Butadiene	0.03U	0.04		0.03U	0.03U	0.01U	0.03U	0.02U	R	0.02U					
					0.03U										
Bromomethane	0.04U	0.05U		0.04U	0.04U	0.06U	0.05U	0.04U	0.05U	0.05U					
					0.050										
,															

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in this sample. U = Under detection limit.

SEASONAL TRENDS IN DETECTED VOC CONCENTRATIONS AT CHEROKEE HEIGHTS 6 April to 29 June, 2007

VOC Detected in One							Valid	Valid Sample Dates **	afor ##						
or More Valid	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri	Thire	Wed	Tuec	Mon	Cura	60.4	
Samples *	4/6	4/12	4/18	4/24	4/30	2/6	5/12	5/18	5/24	5/30	6/5	6/11	mmc	5/73	6/20
Chloroethane	0.03U	90.0		0.05U	0.07	0.05	0.05U	0.07	90.0	0.04U	25			0.47	6710
Acetonitrile	0.11	0.16		0.34	0.24	0.39	0.28	0.19	0.36	0.32					
					0.23										
Acrolein	3.38	1.22		1.16	1.07	2.23	1.17	2.17	1.66	2.50					
					0.82										
Trichlorofluoromethane (Frcon 11)	1.35	1.37		1.48	1.36	1.23	1.58	1.58	1.29	1.23					
Acrylonitrile	R	QQ.		EN	R	Q.	Ð	S S	ON	0.04U					
					2										
1,1-Dichloroethene	2	£		ON.	2 5	£	QQ.	R	Ð	Q.					
Dichloromethane (Methylene Chloride)	0.17	0.20		0.16	0.17	0.16	0.27	0.21	0.15	0.16					
Carbon Disulfide	0.03U	QN		0.11	0.05U 0.04U	0.04U	QN	0.04U	Q.	0.050					

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample. U = Under detection limit.

VOC Detected in One							Valid	Valid Commly Dates ##	44 000						
or More Valid	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tue	Mon	0	V GAILUI	Jampie L	alics a						
Samples *	4/6	4/12	4/18	4/24	4/30	2/k	5/17	FII 5/18	I hurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri
Trichlorotrifluoroethane	0.58	0.78		0.65	0.65	0.71	0.72	0.71	1776	0.00	C/0	0/11	6/17	6/23	6/59
					0.71) ;						
Trans-1,2-	g	2		QN.	9	N N	ND ND	Ð	Ð	Q.					
, the state of the					ND	100000									
1,1-Dichloroethane	2	2		Q.	2	ON.	ON.	QN.	Ð	S					
Mark 11 cm					Q										
Memyl tertaButyl Ether	2	2		2	R	R	N N	0.01U	R	R					
4					2				- 111						
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	4.88	3.49		3.28	3.43	6.35	2.62	3.78	3.75	99.8					
					2.41										
Chloroprene	Q.	Q.		QN.	2	ND	ND	Ð	Ð	R					
			20		ND										
cis-1,2- Dichloroethylene	2	2		Ð	25	QN	Q.	Ð	R	£					
Bromochloromethane	2	4		E.											
outomornio oni orino il ano	3	2		Q N	2 9	2	2	2	g	2					
					1										

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in snicrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample. U = Under detection limit.

VOC Detected in One							Valid	Valid Samule Dates **	ates **						
or More Valid	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri	Thire	Wed	Tupe	Mon	Cirm	Cat	
Samples *	4/6	4/12	4/18	4/24	4/30	2/6	5/12	5/18	5/24	5/30	5/9	11/9 11/9	6/17	021	6/20
Chloroform	0.06U	0.06U		U.07U	0.08U	0.05U	01.0	0.00	0.07U	0.07U	5	1100	110	0140	67/0
					0.00										
Ethyl tert-Butyl Ether	2	2		R	QN	N N	Ð	Ð	Ð	N N					
					R										
1,2-Dichloroethane	2	Ð		QN	2	Ð	R	Ð	ND	QN.					
					ND					atte					
1,1,1-Trichtoroethane	0.09	0.10		0.00	60.0	0.08U	0.11	0.10	60.0	0.08U					
					0.10										
Benzene	0.70	0.56		0.52	0.54	0.21	0.43	0.40	0.23	0.46					
					09.0										
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.81	0.57		19.0	9.02	0.59	0.74	0.70	0.63	0.55					
					0.74										
tert-Amyl Methyl Ether	£	<u>R</u>		QN	N N	Ð	R	Q.	Ð	R					
					R										
1,2-Dichloropropane	2	<u>Q</u>		R	2 2	Ð	Ð	Ð	Q	Ð					

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample. U = Under detection limit.

VOC Detected in One							Valid	Valid Sample Dates **	afes **						
or More Valid	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Lnes	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	ung.	Sat	Hr.
Samples *	4/6	4/12	4/18	4/24	4/30	9/9	5/12	5/18	5/24	5/30	6/5	6/11	6/17	6/23	67/9
Ethyl Acrylate	2	R		QN		ON.	Ð	Ð	£	QN.					
Bromodichloromethane	R	S		2	22	ON.	Q.	Ð	Q.	Ð					
Trichloroethylene (TCE)	g	Ð		0.09U	0.06U 0.06U	R	N N	Q.	Ð	0.13					
Methyl Methacrylate	Q.	Ð		Q	99	Ð	QN	QN	N N	N N					
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	QN	R		ON	99	Ð	Q.	Ð	R	S					
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	0.44	0.29		0.51	0.34	0.39	0.25	0.39	0.36	0.82					
trans-1,3- Dichloropropene	ND	ON		Ð	99	Ð	£	Ð	S	Q.					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	QN ON	QN		QN	28	Ð	£	<u>R</u>	Ð	Q.					
7*															

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample. U = Under detection limit.

VOC Detected in One							Valid	Valid Samula Dates **	10400						
Or Mars Valid		Ē	1111	E			י מווות י	Sample 1	Marcs			3300			
Sample *	117	1 nurs	wed	Ines	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri
T. L.	0/1	71/4	4/18	4/74	4/30	2/6	5/12	5/18	5/24	5/30	6/5	6/11	6/17	2619	00/9
1 oruene	0.40	0.57		0.42	0.64	0.28	0.53	1.15	0.42	0.57			1710	Calo	0127
Dibromochloromethane	GN.	Ð		R	2	Q.	R	R	2	E					
					S)					
1,2-Dibromoethane	2	£		<u>N</u>	2 8	<u>N</u>	N N	£	£	Q					
n-Octane 4	0.18	0.07		0.14	0.11	S	0.07	0.04	50.0	71.0					
					0.11)	5	5.0	0.0	01.0		ASI			
Tetrachloroethylene	0.03U	0.08		0.04U	0.05U	QN	0.05U	0.05U	Ð	0.04U					
					0.02				150						
Cillorobenzene	Q	2		2	£.	QN	N N	R	R	R					
E4111.					2										
Euryloenzene	0.10	60.0		0.10	0.13	0.03U	0.11	60.0	0.05U	0.12					
- X	1				0.17										
m,p-Aylene	0.20	0.13		0.18	0.25	U.07U	0.21	0.16	0.10	0.22					
					0.36										
					-	-								-	

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample. U = Under detection limit.

VOC Detected in One							Valid S	Valid Sample Dates **	ates **						
or More Valid	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri
Samples *	4/6	4/12	4/18	4/24	4/30	9/9	5/12	5/18	5/24	5/30	9/2	6/11	6/17	6/23	6/59
Bromoform	R	Ð		ON.	2 5	Ð	Ð	Ð	<u>N</u>	R					
Styrene	80.0	0.05		0.11	0.08	Ð	80.0	S	0.07	09.0					
					80.0										
1,1,2,2-	R	QN		R	Q.	£	£	Ð	N N	R					
Tetrachloroethane					R										
o-Xylene	0.10	60.0		0.10	0.12	0.04U	0.13	0.10	0.05	0.11					
					0.15										
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	0.05	0.02U		90.0	90.0	0.01U	0.05	0.04U	0.01U	0.07					
					90.0										
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	0.11	0.08		0.13	0.12	0.04U	0.12	60.0	0.05	0.15					
					0.12										
m-Dichlorobenzene	R	ON.		R	ND	N N	R	N N	R	£					
					2										
Chloromethylbenzene	2	QN		Ð	25	Q.	Ð	Ð	Ð	Ð					

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample. U = Under detection limit.

SEASONAL TRENDS IN DETECTED VOC CONCENTRATIONS AT CHEROKEE HEIGHTS 6 April to 29 June, 2007

VOC Detected in One							Valid S	Valid Sample Dates **	ates **						
or More Valid	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Lues	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri	Thurs	Wed	Tues	Mon	Sun	Sat	Fri
Samples *	4/6	4/12	4/18	4/24	4/30	9/9	5/12	5/18	5/24	5/30	9/9	6/11	6/17	6/23	6/58
p-Dichlorobenzene	QN	0.02U		R	0.02U	R	0.02U	Ð	R	0.02U					
2					0.02U										
o-Dichlorobenzene	QN	R		QN	R	ND	N N	ND ND	QN	R					
					Ð										
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ON	Q.		ON	Ð	R	R	QN.	QN	Ð					
					2										
Hexachloro-1,3-	R	Ð		QN	Q.	Q.	Ð.	QN	QN.	Ð					
Butadiene					R										
											31-01				
		SECOLUTION OF THE PROPERTY OF													
								3				The second second	Section 1		

^{*} VOCs shown in Bold Face exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark in one or more samples.

^{**} Sample dates on weekends and holidays are shown in **Bold Face**. Sample concentrations are in micrograms per cubic meter. Sample dates with two concentrations indicate duplicate samples. Sample concentrations shown in **Bold Face** exceeded an EPA, ATSDR, or ODEQ health-based benchmark. ND = VOC not detected in sample. U = Under detection limit.